

Nilpotent orbits

Ivan Motorin

17.10.2020

For drawings

Reminder. There is a Poisson structure on \mathfrak{g}^* and coadjoint orbits are symplectic submanifolds for it.

Proposition 1.

Adjoint orbits in \mathfrak{g} are even-dimensional.

Proof: there is an invariant non-degenerate symmetric form $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ on \mathfrak{g} , so we can identify \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}^* via

$$i_K(X) = K(X, *) \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}$$

Also for any $x \in G_{ad}$ we have

$$i_K(x \cdot X) = K(x \cdot X, *) = K(X, x^{-1} \cdot (*)) = Ad_x^* i_K(X)$$

Therefore adjoint orbits in \mathfrak{g} are in one to one correspondence with coadjoint orbits in \mathfrak{g}^* . \square

Semisimple orbits

Fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} . Then the following map is surjective

$$\tilde{\mu} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \{\text{semisimple orbits}\} \quad \tilde{\mu}(X) = \mathcal{O}_X$$

Lemma 1.

$$W \cong N_{G_{ad}}(\mathfrak{h})/C_{G_{ad}}(\mathfrak{h}),$$

where $N_{G_{ad}}(\mathfrak{h}) = \{x \in G_{ad} \mid x \cdot \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}\}$,
 $C_{G_{ad}}(\mathfrak{h}) = \{x \in G_{ad} \mid x \cdot Y = Y \quad \forall Y \in \mathfrak{h}\}$.

Idea of proof: $\theta_i = \exp(ad_{X_i}) \cdot \exp(-ad_{Y_i}) \cdot \exp(ad_{X_i})$ generates reflection s_i in co-roots. One can show that $C_{G_{ad}}(\mathfrak{h}) = T$ (maximal torus in G_{ad} having a lie algebra \mathfrak{h}) then prove that the stabilizer of the dominant Weyl chamber must be T .

Reminder If \mathfrak{g} is reductive and X is semisimple then $Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$ is reductive subalgebra.

Theorem 1.

The following map is bijective:

$$\mu : \mathfrak{h}/W \rightarrow \{\text{semisimple orbits}\} \quad \mu([X]) = \mathcal{O}_X$$

Proof: the map is well defined (Lemma 1).

If $\mu([X_1]) = \mu([X_2])$ then $\exists x \in G_{ad}, x \cdot X_1 = X_2$, so Cartan subalgebras $\mathfrak{h}, x \cdot \mathfrak{h}$ have common element X_2 . It means that $\mathfrak{h}, x \cdot \mathfrak{h} \subset Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_2)$ and $\mathfrak{h}, x \cdot \mathfrak{h}$ are conjugate by some y from $Z_{G_{ad}}^{\circ}(X_2)$. Therefore $y \cdot x \cdot \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}$ and $y \cdot x \cdot X_1 = y \cdot X_2 = X_2$ thus $[X_1] = [X_2]$. \square

If Π is a set of simple roots of \mathfrak{g} then by theorem 1 μ is bijective map from D_Π :

$$D_\Pi = \{x \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \forall \alpha \in \Pi \quad \Re(\alpha(x)) > 0 \text{ or } \Re(\alpha(x)) = 0, \Im(\alpha(x)) \geq 0\}$$

to semisimple orbits. In particular there are infinitely many semisimple orbits.

Lemma 2.

Fix an orbit \mathcal{O} and $X = X_s + X_n \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $X \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ then $X_s \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}$.

Proof: notice that $\mathcal{O}_X \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{O}}$. $[X_s, X_n] = 0$ therefore X_n lies in reductive $Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_s)$. Also X_n is nilpotent in \mathfrak{g} so its semisimple part must be zero thus $X_n \in [Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_s), Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_s)]$. By Jacobson-Morozov theorem there is $h \in [Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_s), Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_s)]$, $[h, x_n] = 2x_n$, so if we act by exponent of ch for a large negative $c \in \mathbb{R}$ on X we will get that $X_s \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}$. \square

Remark. In particular if X is nilpotent then $\{0\} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{O}}_X$.

Fact. There is an isomorphism of algebras $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^{G_{ad}} \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$ (via restriction).

Proposition 2.

If $X, Y \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $X \notin W \cdot Y$ then $\exists f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W, f(X) \neq f(Y)$.

Proof: for a $w \in W$ pick a $g_w \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ so that $g_w(Y) = 1, g_w(w \cdot X) = 0$. Let $g = 1 - \prod_{w \in W} g_w$. Then $f = \prod_{w \in W} g \circ w$ suits the requirement as $f(Y) = 0, f(X) = 1$. \square

Theorem 2. (Borel, Harish-Chandra)

An element of reductive Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is semisimple if and only if \mathcal{O}_X is closed.

Proof: suppose that X is semisimple. Let $Y \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_X$ then $\mathcal{O}_Y \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{O}}_X$, $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_Y \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{O}}_X$ and $\mathcal{O}_{Y_s} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{O}}_X$. If $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^{G_{ad}}$ then it must be constant on \mathcal{O}_X and $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_X$, so $f(X) = f(Y_s)$. By the fact and proposition 2: $\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_{Y_s}$. We know that $\mathcal{O}_{Y_s} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{O}}_Y$. So $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_Y = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_X$ and $\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X$. Reverse statement follows from lemma 2. \square

Examples: $\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathfrak{sl}_3$

Remark. \mathcal{O} is nilpotent if and only if $\{0\} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{O}}$. In particular \mathcal{N} must be the zero set of all $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^{G_{ad}}$, such that $f(0) = 0$.

Lemma 3.

Let \mathfrak{n} be the nilradical of a Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Then $G_{ad} \cdot \mathfrak{n} = \mathcal{N}$. In particular, if a subset \mathcal{X} of \mathfrak{n} is dense in latter then $G_{ad} \cdot \mathcal{X}$ is dense in \mathcal{N} .

Proof: Any nilpotent element forms a nilpotent subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} so it can be conjugated to a subalgebra of \mathfrak{n} . \square

Lemma 4.

Let H, X, Y be a \mathfrak{sl}_2 triple in \mathfrak{g} which forms ad_H -eigenspace decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_i$. Then $\dim(\mathcal{O}_X) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}) - \dim(\mathfrak{g}_0) - \dim(\mathfrak{g}_1)$.

Proof: $Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$ is ad_H -stable, therefore $Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \cap \mathfrak{g}_i$. It follows that $Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$ is the sum of the highest weight spaces, dimension of which is exactly $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_0) + \dim(\mathfrak{g}_1)$. \square

Denote $\mathfrak{q} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_i$, $\mathfrak{q}_i = \bigoplus_{j \geq i} \mathfrak{g}_j$. Let $\mathfrak{q} = \text{Lie}(Q)$, $Q \subset G_{ad}$ (closed, connected) and $\mathcal{P} = (G_{ad}^H)^\circ \cdot X$.

Lemma 5. (Kostant)

$$\mathcal{O}_X \cap \mathfrak{q}_2 = Q \cdot X = \mathcal{P} + \mathfrak{q}_3$$

In particular $\mathcal{O}_X \cap \mathfrak{q}_2$ is open and dense in \mathfrak{q}_2 (as $\dim(\mathcal{P}) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ by Maltsev theorem).

Proof: $X + \mathfrak{q}_3 \subset Q \cdot X$ then $\mathcal{P} + \mathfrak{q}_3 \subset Q \cdot X$ as well. On the other hand $Q \cdot X \subseteq \mathcal{P} + \mathfrak{q}_3$ by definition. Therefore $Q \cdot X = \mathcal{P} + \mathfrak{q}_3$ and $Q \cdot X \cap \mathfrak{q}_2$ is open dense in \mathfrak{q}_2 .

Now let $X' \in (G_{ad} \cdot X \cap \mathfrak{g}_2)$ then $\dim(Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(X')) = \dim(Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(X))$. Since $Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \subset \mathfrak{q}$ we have

$$\dim(Z_{\mathfrak{q}}(X')) = \dim(Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(X') \cap \mathfrak{q}) \leq \dim(Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \cap \mathfrak{q}) = \dim(Z_{\mathfrak{q}}(X)).$$

Therefore $\dim(Q \cdot X') \geq \dim(Q \cdot X)$ and $Q \cdot X' \cap Q \cdot X \neq \emptyset$. \square

Theorem 3.(de Siebenthal, Dynkin, Kostant)

In a semisimple \mathfrak{g} there exists a unique orbit of maximal dimension $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) - \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$ denoted by \mathcal{O}_{prin} which is open dense in \mathcal{N} .

Proof: Let Π be a set of simple roots. We fix standard triples $\{H_\alpha, X_\alpha, Y_\alpha\}$ in \mathfrak{g} corresponding to $\alpha \in \Pi$. We can find $H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} a_\alpha H_\alpha$ so that $\forall \alpha \in \Pi \quad \alpha(H) = 2$. $H, X = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} X_\alpha, Y = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} a_\alpha Y_\alpha$ is a standard triple.

Clearly $\mathfrak{q}_2 = \mathfrak{n}$ thus \mathcal{O}_X is open dense in \mathcal{N} (and unique) by lemmas 3,5. We also notice that $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}_1 = 0$. \square

Corollary. Because orbit \mathcal{O}_X is an image of G_{ad} in \mathfrak{g} and G_{ad} is irreducible, it follows that \mathcal{N} is irreducible.

Remark. Dimension of \mathcal{O}_{prin} coincides with dimension of typical semisimple orbit. Typical in a sense that semisimple X from \mathcal{O}_X lies strictly inside of a Weyl chamber (X is regular).

Theorem 4. (Steinberg)

There exists a unique nilpotent orbit that is open and dense in $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{prin} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{prin} = \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{prin}$ denoted \mathcal{O}_{subreg} and its dimension is $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) - \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) - 2$.

Idea of proof: we can see from previous theorem that $X' = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} c_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$ belongs to \mathcal{P} iff all c_{α} are nonzero. We can define hyperplanes in \mathfrak{g}_2 defined as $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha} = \{X' \in \mathfrak{g}_2 \mid c_{\alpha} = 0\}$. The complement of $Q \cdot X$ in \mathfrak{q}_2 is union of $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha} := \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} + \mathfrak{q}_3$. We want to find an orbit \mathcal{O}_{α} whose intersection with \mathcal{C}_{α} is open dense in latter. After that we need to show that $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha} = \mathcal{O}_{\beta} = \mathcal{O}_{subreg}$.

We can easily observe that 0 is the orbit of minimal dimension ($Z(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$).

Theorem 5.

There exists a nonzero nilpotent orbit of minimal dimension $\mathcal{O}_{min} = \mathcal{O}_{X_\theta}$ which is contained in closure of any nonzero nilpotent orbit and X_θ is a nonzero vector in the highest root space.

There is a partial order structure on set of orbits which reads $\mathcal{O} \leq \mathcal{O}'$ iff $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{O}'}$. It is correct because Lie group orbits are open dense in their closure.

Proof: Suppose $X \in \mathcal{O}, X = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} c_\alpha X_\alpha$.

We can conjugate X so that $c_\theta \neq 0$. Namely, choose a maximal (w.r.t. standard partial order) β so that $c_\beta \neq 0$. If $\alpha \in \Pi, \alpha + \beta$ is a root then we can find $c \neq 0$ $\exp(cZ_\alpha) \cdot X$ so that $c_{\alpha+\beta} \neq 0$.

Fix $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ so that $\forall \alpha \in \Pi \quad \alpha(H) = 2$. By conjugation of X with $\exp(rH)$ for large $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we can make its θ component arbitrary large in comparison with other components then we scale resulting nilpotent element back by $H' \in \mathfrak{h}$ from \mathfrak{sl}_2 triple corresponding to the new X . \square

Lemma 6.

$\dim(\mathcal{O}_{min})$ equals one plus number of positive roots not orthogonal to θ .

Proof: X_θ and $Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_\theta)$ are $ad_{\mathfrak{h}}$ -stable. Now any positive root element annihilates X_θ as well as an appropriate hyperplane in \mathfrak{h} . Negative root element $X_{-\beta}$ annihilates X_θ iff $(\theta, \beta) = 0$ (as $(\theta, \beta)X_\beta = [H_\theta, X_\beta]$). \square

Here is a table of orbit dimensions for classical Lie algebras:

group type	\mathfrak{sl}_n	\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}	\mathfrak{so}_{2n}	\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}
$\dim(\mathcal{O}_{min})$	$2n-2$	$2n$	$4n-6$	$4n-4$

Remark. These numbers coincide with $2h^\vee - 2$.

Proposition 3.1

If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$, then $\mathcal{O}_{prin} = \mathcal{O}_n$, $\mathcal{O}_{subreg} = \mathcal{O}_{n-1,1}$, $\mathcal{O}_{min} = \mathcal{O}_{2,1^{n-2}}$. Also $\mathcal{O}_\mu \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda$ iff $\lambda \gg \mu$.

Proof (of the second statement): $\forall \lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)$, \mathcal{O}_λ contains matrices X such that ranks of their powers are fixed: $rk X^i = a_{\lambda,i}$. $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda$ can contain only matrices with $a_{\mu,i} \leq a_{\lambda,i}$, because the set of matrices of $rk \leq k$ is closed. One can show that

$$rk X_\lambda^k = \sum_{\{i | \lambda_i \geq k\}} (\lambda_i - k)$$

and that $\lambda \gg \mu$ iff $\forall k \ rk X_\lambda^k \geq rk X_\mu^k$. So the task comes down to making $a - 1, b + 1$ Jordan block out of a, b -block ($b \leq a - 2$). It is possible. \square

Proposition 3.2

(i) If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, then

$$\mathcal{O}_{prin} = \mathcal{O}_{2n+1}, \mathcal{O}_{subreg} = \mathcal{O}_{2n-1,1^2}, \mathcal{O}_{min} = \mathcal{O}_{2^2,1^{2n-3}}.$$

(ii) If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, then $\mathcal{O}_{prin} = \mathcal{O}_{2n}, \mathcal{O}_{subreg} = \mathcal{O}_{2n-2,2}, \mathcal{O}_{min} = \mathcal{O}_{2,1^{2n-2}}.$

(iii) If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n}$, then

$$\mathcal{O}_{prin} = \mathcal{O}_{2n-1,1}, \mathcal{O}_{subreg} = \mathcal{O}_{2n-3,3}, \mathcal{O}_{min} = \mathcal{O}_{2^2,1^{2n-4}}.$$

Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(N)$ we can construct new partition $\lambda^t = [\lambda_1^t, \dots, \lambda_N^t]$:

$$\lambda_j^t = |\{i \mid \lambda_i \geq j\}|$$

Define $d = [t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{ht(\theta)}]$, where $t_i = |\{\alpha \in \Phi_+ \mid ht(\alpha) = i\}|$. Then d^t is a partition which has $l = rank(\mathfrak{g})$ parts $\{m_1, \dots, m_l\}$. These numbers are called exponents of \mathfrak{g} .

Also $\mathfrak{g} \cong \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}^{2m_i+1}$ as a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module.

Theorem 6. (no proof)

$S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{G_{ad}}$ is a polynomial algebra generated by algebraically independent homogeneous polynomials f_1, \dots, f_l with degrees $1 + m_1, \dots, 1 + m_l$.

Exponents

\mathfrak{g}	Exponents		
		E_6	1,4,5,7,8,11
\mathfrak{sl}_n	$1,2,\dots,n-1$	E_7	1,5,7,9,11,13,17
\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}	$1,3,5,\dots,2n-1$	E_8	1,7,11,13,17,19,23,29
\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}	$1,3,5,\dots,2n-1$	F_4	1,5,7,11
\mathfrak{so}_{2n}	$1,3,5,\dots,2n-3,n-1$	G_2	1,5

Рис.: Exponents

Let X be a nilpotent element of semisimple \mathfrak{g} , $A := A(\mathcal{O}_X) := G_{ad}^X / (G_{ad}^X)^\circ$.

Theorem 7. (Springer) (no proof)

There is a bijection between irreducible representations of the Weyl group W of \mathfrak{g} and the following data:

$\{\text{Nilpotent orbits } \mathcal{O}_X \text{ and irreducible representations of } A(\mathcal{O}_X)\}$

In the case of \mathfrak{sl}_n $A(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is always trivial, so there are exactly $\mathcal{P}(n)$ irreducible representations of S_n .